United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/650,149	08/27/2003	Ming-Chieh Chen	US5669	7069
54000 PCE INDUSTI	7590 07/18/200° RY INC.		EXAMINER	
ATT. CHENG-JU CHIANG JEFFREY T. KNAPP			DEANE JR, WILLIAM J	
	458 E. LAMBERT ROAD FULLERTON, CA 92835		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2614	
			<u> </u>	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		·	07/18/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/650,149	CHEN ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		William J. Deane	2614	
Period for	 The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply 	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
WHIC - Extensions after S - If NO - Failure Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DASIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			,	
2a)☐ 3)☐	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 Au</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
	on of Claims	,		
4)⊠ 2 5)□ 6 6)⊠ 7)□ 8 8)□ 6 Applicatio 9)□ 1	Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. (a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrections.	r election requirement. r. epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the drawing(s) is objected to by the drawing(s) is objected to be a second to the drawing(s) is objected to the drawing(s) is o	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ammer. Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
2)	(s) of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te	

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the call agent, the VoIP digit string processor and PSTN digit string processor must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Application/Control Number: 10/650,149

Art Unit: 2614

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1 – 4 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Call agent is a broad term and is not defined in the specification nor is it in the drawings.

Are the PSTN and VoIP digit string processors the PSTN and VoIP digit map processors? If so, please be consistent.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 - 8 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 7,127,043 (Morris).

Because of the problems noted above, the following rejection appears appropriate.

With respect to claims 1 and 5, Morris teaches a method of having a PSTN and a VoIP network wherein detecting the digit dialed determines to which network the call will be routed. (See Abstract and Figs. 1 and 2). The only difference between the Morris reference and the instant application is that the instant application has separate

Application/Control Number: 10/650,149

Art Unit: 2614

databases for storing the numbers. That is, VoIP numbers go to one database while PSTN numbers go to another. In Morris one database 150 contains both types of numbers. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine two separate databases into one or vice-a-versa.

With respect to claims 2-3 and 6-7, note Col. 2, line 57- Col. 3, line 3.

With respect to claims 4 and 8, note Fig.1.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bill Deane whose telephone number is (571) 272-7484. In addition, facsimile transmissions should be directed to Bill Deane at facsimile number (571) 273-8300.

13Jun2007

PRIMARY EYAMINED

Page 4

PHIMARY EXAMINER